

Guiding Principles Strategic Planning Ad-Hoc Committee Agenda

Wednesday, August 10, 2022, from 1pm-2pm via Zoom. The public is welcome to attend and give comments when appropriate.

To Join Zoom meeting:

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89674040016?pwd=dW56ZUp2enVBTXhJR2dFaGtoOU1oUT09>

Meeting ID: 896 7404 0016

Passcode: 718109

Call in: (669) 900-6833

1. Call to order/Roll Call
2. Approval of Meeting Minutes
 - August 3, 2022
 - August 5, 2022
3. Review and Discuss City of Livingston Organization Strategic Plan's mission, vision, values and goals statement using the attached "Evaluation Exercise"
4. Member comments
5. Public comment
6. Adjournment

Livingston City Commission Strategic Plan & Growth Policy Meeting Minutes

August 3, 2022 1:00PM

Meeting Number: 11

1. 1:05 PM – Call to Order
2. 1:05 PM – Roll Call: In attendance –Commissioner K. Kahle, Commissioner M. Nootz, T. Blurock, W. Windham, J. Willich
3. 1:05 PM – Agenda item #2 – Approval of Minutes for July 27, 2022
 - a. Motion to approve by TB, 2nd by WW, passed unanimously
4. 1:06 PM – KK provides a reminder that we have an in-person, deep dive meeting at City Hall, 9-12, Friday 5 August. The public is invited to attend.
5. 1:09 PM – Goals
 - a. WW begins with bringing MN up to speed with the last meeting’s progress
 - b. WW asks how much and what kind of control the city has over the ETJ, adding that the city shouldn’t neglect those areas
 - c. TB asks whether the city boundary needs further discussion
 - d. WW asks about what the goals are for the city and how it lines up with land use
 - e. TB sees multiple grey areas that need addressing
 - f. MN adds that state law determines ETJ jurisdiction and agreements will need to be made in writing to be legally binding
 - g. MN continues that the ETJs will require commission-level meetings between the city and county
 - h. TB poses if the city could annex the ETJs and control them that way
 - i. MN counters that there are specific laws about what can be annexed
 - j. TB asserts that he just wants to be sure the ETJs get addressed
 - k. WW pivots the conversation towards transportation
 - l. KK asks if there really is much of a parking issue, noting the seasonality of the problem
 - m. MN states that connectivity needs to include pedestrians
 - n. WW adds that if the goal is to increase density, then parking will become an issue
 - o. JW said that parking will need to be addressed if the goal is to make the city more walkable
 - p. TB added that growth will make discussing parking an inevitability
 - q. WW noted that parking regulations downtown only apply if you increase the building size by 10% or more
 - r. TB wants to change Parking Downtown to addressing parking in relation to growth
 - s. MN adds that the growth plan and the transportation plan address the future of parking
 - t. JW shifts to adding Computer Aided Dispatch and social work to the goals
 - u. MN states there have been some discussion about adding a social worker, or partnering with the county to hire a social worker
 - v. WW discussed the safety net possibilities with the city and added public transportation
 - w. MN states the city works with HRDC and other groups to assist with housing security
 - x. MN adds that she feels that although the city is in good shape now, we’re always on the edge of failure
 - y. WW believes that utilities should be part of the infrastructure goal

- z. MN added that the utility dept does a great job of staying ahead of demand
 - aa. JW used Sun Valley/Ketchum's bus service as an example of a public transportation service at the scale that might be appropriate for Livingston
 - bb. MN thought the Sun Valley bus service was interesting
 - cc. JW said he would follow up about costs for the service
 - dd. WW asked if there's a specific goal for public transportation or does it fit in the 5-year plan
 - ee. MN adds that elevating public transportation will alleviate some of the requirements for parking, road maintenance, etc.
 - ff. WW gives a 7-minute warning
 - gg. MN suggests we develop an agenda for Friday
 - hh. WW says we should look at what we have, then look at housing
 - ii. TB states that almost done isn't done
 - jj. TB says he'd like to see us work on housing first
6. 1:56 PM – Wrap up and reminder for Friday's in-depth meeting
 7. 1:57 PM – Member Comments
 - a. WW none
 - b. TB none
 - c. JW none
 - d. KK none
 - e. MN none
 8. 1:57 PM – End of member comments
 9. 1:57 PM – Public Comments – None
 10. 1:57 PM – End of public comments
 11. 1:57 PM – TB motion to close, 2nd by WW, passed unanimously
 12. 1:58 PM – Meeting adjourned

Public in virtual attendance: None