

Livingston City Commission Strategic Plan & Growth Policy Meeting Minutes

August 3, 2022 1:00PM

Meeting Number: 11

1. 1:05 PM – Call to Order
2. 1:05 PM – Roll Call: In attendance –Commissioner K. Kahle, Commissioner M. Nootz, T. Blurock, W. Windham, J. Willich
3. 1:05 PM – Agenda item #2 – Approval of Minutes for July 27, 2022
 - a. Motion to approve by TB, 2nd by WW, passed unanimously
4. 1:06 PM – KK provides a reminder that we have an in-person, deep dive meeting at City Hall, 9-12, Friday 5 August. The public is invited to attend.
5. 1:09 PM – Goals
 - a. WW begins with bringing MN up to speed with the last meeting’s progress
 - b. WW asks how much and what kind of control the city has over the ETJ, adding that the city shouldn’t neglect those areas
 - c. TB asks whether the city boundary needs further discussion
 - d. WW asks about what the goals are for the city and how it lines up with land use
 - e. TB sees multiple grey areas that need addressing
 - f. MN adds that state law determines ETJ jurisdiction and agreements will need to be made in writing to be legally binding
 - g. MN continues that the ETJs will require commission-level meetings between the city and county
 - h. TB poses if the city could annex the ETJs and control them that way
 - i. MN counters that there are specific laws about what can be annexed
 - j. TB asserts that he just wants to be sure the ETJs get addressed
 - k. WW pivots the conversation towards transportation
 - l. KK asks if there really is much of a parking issue, noting the seasonality of the problem
 - m. MN states that connectivity needs to include pedestrians
 - n. WW adds that if the goal is to increase density, then parking will become an issue
 - o. JW said that parking will need to be addressed if the goal is to make the city more walkable
 - p. TB added that growth will make discussing parking an inevitability
 - q. WW noted that parking regulations downtown only apply if you increase the building size by 10% or more
 - r. TB wants to change Parking Downtown to addressing parking in relation to growth
 - s. MN adds that the growth plan and the transportation plan address the future of parking
 - t. JW shifts to adding Computer Aided Dispatch and social work to the goals
 - u. MN states there have been some discussion about adding a social worker, or partnering with the county to hire a social worker
 - v. WW discussed the safety net possibilities with the city and added public transportation
 - w. MN states the city works with HRDC and other groups to assist with housing security
 - x. MN adds that she feels that although the city is in good shape now, we’re always on the edge of failure
 - y. WW believes that utilities should be part of the infrastructure goal

- z. MN added that the utility dept does a great job of staying ahead of demand
 - aa. JW used Sun Valley/Ketchum's bus service as an example of a public transportation service at the scale that might be appropriate for Livingston
 - bb. MN thought the Sun Valley bus service was interesting
 - cc. JW said he would follow up about costs for the service
 - dd. WW asked if there's a specific goal for public transportation or does it fit in the 5-year plan
 - ee. MN adds that elevating public transportation will alleviate some of the requirements for parking, road maintenance, etc.
 - ff. WW gives a 7-minute warning
 - gg. MN suggests we develop an agenda for Friday
 - hh. WW says we should look at what we have, then look at housing
 - ii. TB states that almost done isn't done
 - jj. TB says he'd like to see us work on housing first
- 6. 1:56 PM – Wrap up and reminder for Friday's in-depth meeting
 - 7. 1:57 PM – Member Comments
 - a. WW none
 - b. TB none
 - c. JW none
 - d. KK none
 - e. MN none
 - 8. 1:57 PM – End of member comments
 - 9. 1:57 PM – Public Comments – None
 - 10. 1:57 PM – End of public comments
 - 11. 1:57 PM – TB motion to close, 2nd by WW, passed unanimously
 - 12. 1:58 PM – Meeting adjourned

Public in virtual attendance: None