

Livingston City Commission Strategic Plan & Growth Policy Meeting Minutes

September 21, 2022 1:00PM

Meeting Number: 17

1. 1:02 PM – Call to Order
2. 1:02 PM – Roll Call: In attendance –Commissioner K. Kahle, Commissioner M. Nootz, T. Blurock, W. Windham, J. Willich
3. 1:03 PM – Agenda item #2 – Approval of minutes from 7 September
 - a. Motion by TB, 2nd by WW, passes unanimously
4. 1:04 PM – Discussion of Presentation to Commission
 - a. KK confirms that our presentation is scheduled for 1 November at 5:30 PM, probably a Zoom meeting
 - b. WW states a 5–6-minute presentation of the Intent, Process, and Result of our work, with a bit of background on the importance of our document, providing examples of the cities we used for discussion
 - c. TB would like to show an evolution of our report
 - d. WW would like to add statements of why our document is strong
 - e. KK shows the bullet points developed with MN
 - f. MN states the report gives more direction to the city
 - g. MN notes that using the previous Strategic Plan was instrumental for our report
 - h. WW took feedback from the community to distill the previous plan down to the goals we developed
 - i. MN is happy everything in our plan comes from previous meetings, we didn't have to invent anything new
 - j. WW believes this is a good guiding plan for the commission to direct the City Manager
 - k. TB asks about the new city manager
 - l. MN would like to wait to the end of the meeting
 - m. WW says the largest issue facing the city is growth and our report deals directly with that
 - n. TB agrees that it's an excellent guiding plan
 - o. WW would like to discuss the timeline for reviewing the plan in the future
 - p. WW typically sees 5-year plans with a full review at the end
 - q. MN believes that was the intent in 2018 but the pandemic prevented review
 - r. WW discusses the yearly goals as they relate to the larger plan we developed
 - s. TB believes 5 years is too long
 - t. WW suggests 3 years
 - u. TB thinks 3 years is a good length of time for review
 - v. JW suggests another ad hoc group every three year to review
 - w. WW and KK discuss how the plan is used to measure the city manager's success
 - x. JW adds that three years is a good length of time for a good mixture of goals
 - y. MN likes yearly work sessions to keep the document fresh
 - z. WW discusses quarterly updates and their relationship with continuations of goals
 - aa. WW suggests we recommend three years to the commission
 - bb. WW asks about sending the PowerPoint to us

- cc. KK thinks we'll be fine to receive the doc
- 5. 1:37 PM – Public Comments
- 6. 1:37 PM – End of Public Comments
- 7. 1:37 PM – Member Comments
 - a. MN discusses the hiring of the city manager
 - b. MN is also very happy to have worked together on this
 - c. KK is also very happy, would like to have a meetup after the culmination
- 8. 1:37 PM – End of member comments
- 9. 1:38 PM – TB motion to close, 2nd by WW, passed unanimously
- 10. 1:38 PM – Meeting adjourned

Public in virtual attendance: None